The author wishes to thank Dr. H. L. HERGERT for the sample of hydroxymatairesinol and Crown Zellerbach, Chemical Products Division, Camas, Washington, U.S.A. for samples of α - and β -conidendrin.

Vancouver Laboratory, Department of Forestry of Canada, Forest Products Research Branch, Vancouver (Canada)

G. M. BARTON*

I B. Holmberg, Chem. Ber., 54 (1921) 2389. 2 I. Smith, Chromatographic Techniques, William Heinemann Medical Books Ltd., London, 1958, p. 145.

3 G. M. BARTON, R. S. EVANS AND J. A. F. GARDNER, Nature, 170 (1952) 249.

4 J. Paskova and V. Munk, J. Chromatog., 4 (1960) 241.

5 O. GOLDSCHMID AND H. L. HERGERT, Tappi, 44 (1961) 858.

Received June 25th, 1964

* Research Officer, Vancouver Laboratory, FPRB.

J. Chromatog., 17 (1965) 412-416

R_F values of some glutarimides

Various derivatives of glutarimide (I) have been shown to possess biological activities (Table I)1,2 and a few of these are employed in medical practice. As part of an investigation of the metabolic fate of some glutarimides in animals, a study was made of their chromatographic properties.

$$O \stackrel{\stackrel{4^{3}2}{\longrightarrow} O}{\stackrel{N}{\longrightarrow}} O$$

All paper chromatograms were carried out with Whatman No. 1 grade. Some papers were impregnated with liquid paraffin (4% in hexane), olive oil (20% in

TABLE I MAIN PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTION OF THE GLUTARIMIDES USED IN THE STUDY

Comp	ound	Pharmacological action
I III IV V VI VII VIII IX	Glutarimide 3,3-Dimethyl glutarimide 3-Ethyl-3-methyl glutarimide (Bemegride) 2,4-Dicyano-3-ethyl-3-methyl glutarimide 3-Isopropyl-3-methyl glutarimide 3,3-Di-n-propyl glutarimide 2-Phenyl-2-ethyl glutarimide (Glutethimide) 2-p-Aminophenyl-2-ethyl glutarimide (Aminoglutethimide) 2-Phenyl-2-diethylaminoethyl glutarimide hydrochloride (Phenglutarimide)	None Convulsive Convulsive None Convulsive Hypnotic Hypnotic Anticonvulsive Parasympatholytic

TABLE II $R_{f F}$ values (imes 100) of some glutarimides on cellulose paper

Gluta-	Solve	Solvent systems	ms*				,				İ									
11)	S_1	S ₂	S3	S4	S	Se	S ₇	Sg	S	S ₁₀	S_{11}	S_{12}	S ₁₂ **	S_{13}	S_{14}	S ₁₅	S_{16}	Sız	S_{18}	S ₁₉
	70 100 100 89 91 91 64	62 71 72 76 76 76 88	83 83 83 60 60 65	74 86 86 40 100 100 56	68 81 81 91 71	77 77 88 89 89 89 89 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	50 84 84 84 100 100 100 68	68 67 72 72 72 73	66 99 95 93 64	56 89 89 89 89 89 80 80	92 92 83 83 100 97 97 97	68 89 86 89 90 77 75	78 24 24 1000 11000 178 178	88 88 88 88 88 61	66 84 88 88 88 86 97 74 74	57 67 67 88 86 89 86 89 86 89 86 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	20 100 100 85 97 100 97	98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 9	31 74 90 90 100 100 15	100 96 76 93 10 88

methanol-pyridine-water (1:20:5), 4 h; $S_9 = n$ -butanol-acetic acid-water (12:3:5), 7 h; $S_{10} = n$ -butanol-ethanol-water (3:1:1), 10 h; $S_{11} = n$ -butanol-dimethyl formamide-water (15:4:1), 8 h; $S_{12} = \text{isobutanol-acetic acid-water}$ (4:1:1), 12 h; $S_{13} = \text{ient.-butanol-acetic acid-water}$ (4:1:1), 17 h; $S_{15} = \text{isopropanol-ethyl acetate-water}$ (2:1:3), 4 h; $S_{16} = \text{toluene-acetic acid-water}$ (10:5:4), 1 h; $S_{17} = \text{chloroform-acetic acid-water}$ (1:2:1), 8 h; $S_{18} = \text{carbon tetrachloride-acetic acid-water}$ (1:2:1), 7 h; $S_{19} = \text{sodium chloride 10}$ (1:1), 7 h; S_5 = methanol-chloroform (1:1), 5 h; S_6 = ethanol-o.9% (w/v) sodium chloride (1:1), 6 h; S_7 = ethanol-o.2 N HCl (1:1), 7 h; S_8 = * $S_1 = n$ -propanol-water (4:1), 4 h; $S_2 = m$ ethanol-petroleum ether 40-60° (1:1), 5 h; $S_3 = n$ -hexanol-water (1:1), 7 h; $S_4 = m$ -benzyl alcohol-water (w/v), 2 h.

** Cellulose phosphate paper.

acetone³) or tributyrin (10 % in acetone). All compounds were applied to the sheets in amounts of 100 µg by the window technique4 and they were located with the hypochlorite reagent of GRIEG AND LEABACK⁵. Thin-layer chromatographic separation was made on alumina (Merck) plates, 50 μ thick, prepared with a Camag thin-layer chromatographic spreader and activated at 150° for 1 h. Alkaline solvent systems could not be used because the glutarimides, especially the 2-substituted derivatives, hydrolyse above pH 8. Ascending chromatography was used in all experiments and the data are tabulated in Tables II, III and IV.

By the use of any two of four solvent systems it was possible to distinguish between the glutarimides, the most useful being toluene-acetic acid-water (10:5:4), carbon tetrachloride-acetic acid-water (1:2:1), aqueous sodium chloride (10 %, w/v)

TABLE III R_F values (imes 100) of some glutarimides on impregnated cellulose paper

Glutarimide	Solvent systems*							
	S ₁ **	S ₂₀ ***	S ₂₁ ***	S228	S ₂₃ \$			
1	69	54	83	80	87			
11	86	6i	_	72	70			
111	81	64	84	50	68			
V		6 <u>5</u>	86	35				
VI		66	<i>7</i> 9	5	3			
VII		63	76	2	4			
VIII			<u>.</u>	20	20			
IX	65	68	88	83	87			

^{*} $S_1 = n$ -propanol-water (4:1), 24 h; $S_{20} =$ methanol-water (1:1), 8 h; $S_{21} =$ acetic acid-water (1:1), 8 h; $S_{22} =$ 0.066 M sodium phosphate pH 7.3, 1 h; $S_{23} =$ 0.066 M sodium phosphate pH 8.0, 1 h.

** Paper impregnated with liquid paraffin.

TABLE IV R_F values (imes 100) of some glutarimides on thin-layer alumina plates

Glutarimide	Solvent systems*										
	S_1	S ₁₁	S ₁₄	S ₂₂	S_{24}	S_{25}	S_{26}	S ₂₆ **			
I	8o	87	87	98	69						
11	85	89	95	92	70						
III	ο.	0.0		00	73.65***	95	79	62			
VII	86	88 94, 85*** 88	87	93, 71 ***	70	95	82	68			
VIII	85	88	86	90	70						
IX	77	8 5	78	95	67	9 5	75, II***	14			

^{*} $S_1 = n$ -propanol-water (4:1), 2 h (time for 5 in. rise of solvent front); $S_{11} = n$ -butanol-dimethyl formamide-water (15:4:1), 2.5 h; $S_{14} = tert$ -amyl alcohol-acetic acid-water (4:1:1), 10 h; $S_{22} = 0.066 \ M$ sodium phosphate pH 7.3, 1 h; $S_{24} = methanol$, 0.75 h; $S_{25} = chloroform-methanol$ (1:1), 0.5 h; $S_{26} = chloroform-acetone$ (9:1), 1 h.

** Plate activated at 180° for 3 h.

^{***} Paper impregnated with olive oil.

[§] Paper impregnated with tributyrin.

^{***} Formation of double spots.

NOTES 419

and, on paper impregnated with tributyrin, 0.066 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.3. With these solvents compact spots of reproducible R_F were obtained. The systems isobutanol-acetic acid-water (4:1:1) and tert.-butanol-acetic acid-water (4:1:1) gave good resolution but required longer times for development. n-Butanol-acetic acidwater (12:3:5) was also an efficient system and has been found to separate the metabolites of Bemegride⁶.

Development of the alumina chromatoplates with chloroform or chloroformbenzene (I:I) resulted in the appearance of multiple spots with the compounds used. This was also observed with a number of other solvents for a few of the glutarimides (Table IV). All of the spots were well resolved and the compounds were easily detected in amounts of I-IO µg by the hypochlorite reagent and by the alkaline hydroxylamine spray of Sheppard et al.7. This is particularly useful, because the 3-substituted glutarimides are not readily located by the latter reagent on paper chromatograms. The detection of glutethimide, in these small quantities, by the hydroxylamine reagent on alumina plates may be of importance in forensic investigations. Barbiturates, which may be present with the glutarimide in biological specimens taken for toxicological analysis, do not interfere with the reaction.

Acknowledgements

Grateful acknowledgement is made for gifts of some of the glutarimides from Ciba Laboratories Ltd., Horsham, Sussex, and the Nicholas Research Institute, Slough, Bucks.

Welsh School of Pharmacy, Welsh College of Advanced Technology, DAWN DAVIES Cardiff (Great Britain) P. J. Nicholls

2 A. SHULMAN, Proc. Roy. Aust. Chem. Inst., 31 (1964) 41.

4 J. Popowicz, J. Chromatog., 7 (1962) 271.

Received July 3rd, 1964

J Chromatog., 17 (1965) 416-419

I F. GROSS, K. HOFFMANN, J. KEBRLE AND J. TRIPOD, Verhandl. Naturforsch. Ges. Basel, 67

³ J. V. JACKSON AND M. S. Moss, in I. SMITH (Editor), Chromatographic and Electrophoretic Techniques, Vol. 1, Heinemann, London, 1962, p. 405.

⁵ C. G. GRIEG AND D. H. LEABACK, Nature, 188 (1960) 310.

⁶ P. J. Nicholls, Nature, 185 (1960) 927.
7 H. Sheppard, B. S. D'Asaro and A. J. Plummer, J. Am. Pharm. Ass., 45 (1956) 681.